



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

RICHMOND, HITCHCOCK, FISH & DOLLAR
P.O. Box 2443
Bartlesville OK 74005

COPY MAILED

APR 14 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
KHARE et al.
Application No. 10/027,192
Filed: December 20, 2001
Attorney Docket No. 33872US

**DECISION ON PETITION
UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

This is a decision on the **renewed** petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed December 12, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely file a reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the Final Office action of August 5, 2003, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on February 6, 2004.

The petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137 (b) in that (1) the reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and amendment under 37 CFR 1.114; (2) the petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(m); and (3) the required statement of unintentional delay have been received. Accordingly, the reply to the final Office action of August 5, 2003 is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

The petition fee of \$1,500 filed on December 12, 2005 is unnecessary and will be refunded to petitioner's deposit account. The required petition fee of \$1370 under 37 CFR 1.17(m) was timely submitted with the original petition dated October 18, 2004.

The application file does not indicate a change of address has been filed in this case, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. A change of address should be filed in this case in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address noted on the petition. However, until otherwise instructed, all future correspondence regarding this application will be mailed solely to the address of record.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Amelia Au at (571) 272-7414. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application file is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 1754 for appropriate action.


Amelia Au
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Cc: BRONWYN WELVAERT
CONOCO PHILLIPS CO. - I.P. LEGAL
P.O. BOX 2443
Bartlesville, OK 74004